UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,839	09/17/2003	Sung Uk Moon	242923US90	2705
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			WENDELL, ANDREW	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/663,839	MOON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANDREW WENDELL	2618		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ma</u>	arch 2008			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the a	onlication			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William consideration.			
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
2)	5) Notice of Informal P			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harel et al. (US Pat# 6,128,472) in view of Beckmann et al. (US Pat# 7,149,195).

Regarding claim 1, Harel teaches a radio communication system having base stations (Fig. 3) and mobile stations 106 (Fig. 1), to perform multicast communication (Col. 2 line 62-Col. 3 line 9), wherein a mobile station comprises a response signal transmitter configured to transmit a response signal including a group ID identifying a multicast group to the base station (Col. 4 lines 15-25), the response signal responding to a control signal for the multicast group which the mobile station is joining in (Col. 4 lines 15-25); and the base station comprises a response signal transmitter configured to transmit to the controller, one response signal selected from a plurality of response signals transmitted from mobile stations, the plurality of response signal including a same group ID identifying a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 2 line 62-Col. 3 line 9 and Col. 4 line 36-Col. 5 line 12, even though the one signal does not go to a radio network controller, the same principle applies since one message out of a plurality messages is transmitted to a

controller). Harel fails to teach a radio network controller, a response signal counter, and mobile stations.

Beckmann et al. transmitting multicast messages in a radio system, and correspondingly designed radio system, transmitter and receiver teaches a radio communication system having a radio network controller RNC (Fig. 1), base stations BS (Fig. 1) and mobile stations UE 1-5 (Fig. 1), to perform multicast communication (Sections 0005-0006).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a radio network controller and mobile stations as taught by Beckmann et al. into Harel's multicast system in order to transmit multicast messages reliably and securely with little expenditure (Section 0004).

Harel and Beckmann fail to teach a response signal counter.

Kall teaches a response signal counter configured to count the number of a plurality of response signals to a control signal fro a multicast group, the plurality of response signals being transmitted from mobile stations and including a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 4 lines 8-19, "when the number of mobile stations within a particular cell exceeds a threshold number..."); a judger configured to judge whether the counted number of the plurality of response signals is more than a predetermined number of not (Col. 4 lines 8-19, "when the number of mobile stations within a particular cell exceeds a threshold number..."); and a response signal transmitter configured to transmit a response signal without waiting to

receive a subsequent response signal transmitted from another mobile station, when the counted number of the plurality of response signals is more than the predetermined number (Col. 4 lines 8-19, after the threshold is meet RANcast is implemented therefore it does not wait for a subsequent response).

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a response signal counter as taught by Kall into a radio network controller and mobile stations as taught by Beckmann et al. into Harel's multicast system in order to improve efficient utilizations of radio resources.

Regarding claim 3, Harel teaches a base station (Fig. 3) supporting multicast communication (Col. 2 line 62-Col. 3 line 9), the base station comprising a response signal transmitter configured to transmit, to a controller, one response signal responding to a control signal for a multicast group and selected from a plurality of response signals transmitted from mobile stations (Col. 2 line 62-Col. 3 line 9 and Col. 4 line 36-Col. 5 line 12, even though the one signal does not go to a radio network controller, the same principle applies since one message out of a plurality messages is transmitted to a controller), the plurality of response signal including a same group ID identifying a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 4 lines 15-25). Harel fails to teach a response signal counter, a radio network controller and mobile stations.

Beckmann et al. teaches a base station BS (Fig. 1) and mobile stations UE 1-5 (Fig. 1), supporting multicast communication (Section 0064).

Page 5

Harel and Beckmann fail to teach a response signal counter.

Kall teaches a response signal counter configured to count the number of a plurality of response signals to a control signal fro a multicast group, the plurality of response signals being transmitted from mobile stations and including a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 4 lines 8-19, "when the number of mobile stations within a particular cell exceeds a threshold number..."); a judger configured to judge whether the counted number of the plurality of response signals is more than a predetermined number of not (Col. 4 lines 8-19, "when the number of mobile stations within a particular cell exceeds a threshold number..."); and a response signal transmitter configured to transmit a response signal without waiting to receive a subsequent response signal transmitted from another mobile station, when the counted number of the plurality of response signals is more than the predetermined number (Col. 4 lines 8-19, after the threshold is meet RANcast is implemented therefore it does not wait for a subsequent response).

Regarding claim 5, Harel teaches a detector 108 and 110 (Fig. 3) configured to detect a first reception of the one response signal transmitted from the mobile stations106 (Fig. 1); and wherein a response signal holder holds the at least one response signal for a predetermined duration after the first reception of the one response signal (Col. 2 line 62-Col. 3 line 9 and Col. 4 line 36-Col. 5 line 12). Harel fails to teach a mobile station.

Beckmann et al. teaches mobile stations UE 1-5 (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Kall further teaches wherein the response signal transmitter notifies that the counted number of the plurality of response signals is more than the predetermined number, or the counted number of the plurality of response signals to the controller (Col. 4 lines 8-19).

Regarding claim 11, Beckmann teaches wherein the response signal transmitter is configured to transmit, to the radio network controller, the plurality of response signals, instead of transmitting the one response signal selected from the plurality of response signals, when the counted number of the plurality of response signals is less than or equal to the predetermined number (Sections 0007-0013 and 0049-0054).

3. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harel et al. (US Pat# 6,128,472) in view of Kall et al. (US Pat# 7,149,195).

Regarding claim 9, Harel teaches a receiver 112 (Fig. 3) configured to receive a response signal transmitted from at least one base station, the response signal including a same group ID identifying a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 4 line 15-Col. 5 line 12); a extractor 112 (Fig. 3) configured to extract the information from the received response signal. Harel fails to teach response signals being more than a predetermined number and a radio network controller.

Kall teaches a receiver configured to receive response signals transmitted from base stations, the response signal including a same group ID identifying a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 5 line 65-Col. 6 line 19), and including information showing that the number of received response

signals is more than a predetermined number (Col. 4 lines 8-19); a extractor configured to extract the information from the received response signal (Col. 4 lines 8-19); and a radio controller 36 (Fig. 1) configured to perform radio controlling in communication in accordance with the extracted information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate response signals being more than a predetermined number and a radio network controller as taught by Kall into Harel's multicast system in order to improve and efficient utilizations of radio resources (Col. 3 lines 25-27).

Regarding claim 10, Harel teaches a receiver 112 (Fig. 3) configured to receive a response signal transmitted format at least one base station, the response signal including a same group ID identifying a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 4 line 15-Col. 5 line 12); a extractor 112 (Fig. 3) configured to extract the information from the received response signal. Harel fails to teach response signals being more than a predetermined number and a radio network controller. Harel fails to teach a response signal including the number of response signals transmitted from mobile stations and a radio controller.

Kall teaches a receiver configured to receive a response signal transmitted format at least one base station, the response signal including the number of response signals transmitted from mobile stations (Col. 4 lines 8-19) and including a same group ID identifying a same multicast group to which the mobile stations are requesting to join (Col. 5 line 65-Col. 6 line 19); a extractor 112 (Fig. 3) configured to extract the

Art Unit: 2618

information from the received response signal (Col. 4 lines 8-19); and a radio controller 36 (Fig. 1) configured to perform radio controlling in multicast communication in accordance with the extracted number of response signals.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5, 8, and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's Remarks	Examiner's Response
Regarding claims 9 and 10, "Therefore,	Kall does show information (i.e. exceeding
Kall does not disclose or suggest that the	a threshold number then starting a
radio network controller receives a	RANcast; there must be some information
response signal including information	passed along to indicate the threshold is
showing that the number of response	met in order to start up the RANcast)
signals transmitted from mobile stations is	showing that the number of response
more than the predetermined number".	signals transmitted from mobile stations is
	more than the predetermined number (Col.
	4 lines 8-19). Examiner believes applicant
	is reading more into that limitation than
	present. Examiner's view of that limitation
	is just information (i.e. signal or alert)
	saying that the threshold has been met
	(i.e. response signals transmitted from
	mobile stations more than the

Art Unit: 2618

	predetermined number).
--	------------------------

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW WENDELL whose telephone number is (571)272-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Wendell/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 /Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618

6/9/2008